



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

PE472.303v01-00

21.9.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 73

Draft motion for a resolution
Herbert Reul
(PE472.008v01-00)

on The open internet and net neutrality in Europe

AM_Com_NonLegRE



Amendment 1
Marietje Schaake
Draft motion for a resolution
Citation X (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard of the amendment to the Dutch telecommunications law to article 7.4, adding a new section 7.4a,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Citation X (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regards to the May 2011 IMCO study "Network Neutrality: Challenges and responses in the EU and in the U.S." (IP/A/IMCO/ST/2011-02),

Or. en

Amendment 3
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Groote
Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. in der Erwägung, dass sich auf Grundlage der Netzneutralität das Internet als Innovationsmotor für wirtschaftliche und gesellschaftliche Entwicklung erwiesen hat und durch sie Vielfalt, Pluralismus, kommunikative Chancengleichheit, niedrige Zugangsbarrieren und ein fairer Wettbewerb in der modernen Kommunikation sichergestellt werden;

Or. de

Amendment 4
Jean-Pierre Audy, Gaston Franco
Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the Internet's open character has been a key driver of innovation which has led to spectacular levels of development in online applications, content and services and thus of growth in the offer and the demand of content and services; has made it a vitally important accelerator in the free circulation of knowledge, ideas and information, including in countries where there is limited access to independent news,

Or. en

Amendment 5
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the Internet's open character has been a key driver of innovation which has led to spectacular levels of development in online applications, content and services and thus of growth in the offer and the demand of content and services; has made it a vitally important accelerator in the free circulation of knowledge, ideas and information, including in countries where there is limited access to independent news,

Or. en

Amendment 6
Marietje Schaake
Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas the Dutch Parliament adopted an amendment to its telecommunication law, thereby ensuring net neutrality through ex ante regulation, as a reaction to anti-competitive behaviour by mobile telecommunication operators which threatens to hamper innovation in the telecommunication sector, which hurts business, consumers and society as a whole,

Or. en

Amendment 7

Ivo Belet

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital D a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. whereas there are third countries that have prevented mobile broadband providers from blocking lawful websites and VoIP or video-telephony applications that compete with their own voice or video telephony services,

Or. en

Amendment 8

Catherine Trautmann

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas, internet services are offered on ***an international*** scale and the internet is at the very centre of the global economy,

E. whereas, internet services are offered on ***a cross-border*** scale and the internet is at the very centre of the global economy,

Or. en

Amendment 9

Niki Tzavela

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas its open character has been a key driver of the growth of the Internet to date, and has facilitated an open environment conducive to the spectacular levels of innovation in online applications, content and services networks, meeting and stimulating demand,

Or. en

Amendment 10
Ivo Belet
Draft motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas the internet's open character has been a key driver of innovation which has led to the development of online applications, content and services,

Or. en

Amendment 11
Ivo Belet, Angelika Niebler
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular on the need of preserving the open and neutral character of the internet;

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular on the need of preserving the open and neutral character of the internet; ***calls on the European Commission to ensure that internet service providers do not block, interfere with, discriminate against, impair, or degrade the ability of any person to use a broadband service to access, use, send, post, receive, or offer any lawful content, application, or service***

Amendment 12
Sabine Verheyen
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular on the need of preserving the open and neutral character of the internet;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular on the need of preserving the open and neutral character of the internet ***as a key driver for innovation and consumer demand;***

Amendment 13
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular ***on the need of preserving*** the open and neutral character of the internet;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the communication of the Commission and agrees with the analysis, in particular ***on the necessity to preserve*** the open and neutral character of the internet;

Amendment 14
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Grootte
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Fordert dazu auf, den Begriff Netzneutralität als grundsätzliche Gleichbehandlung aller Datenpakete unabhängig von Inhalt, Dienst, Anwendung, Herkunft oder Ziel zu

definieren. Mobilfunk und Festnetz sind dabei gleich zu behandeln, sofern nicht zwingende technische Gründe ein unterschiedliches Netzwerkmanagement rechtfertigen. Eine Inthaltekontrolle durch Netzbetreiber darf nicht erfolgen;

Or. de

Amendment 15
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Grootte
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. Sachlicher Grund für eine Ungleichbehandlung kann die Notwendigkeit eines Netzwerkmanagements sein, sofern die Ungleichbehandlung dazu dient, die Funktionsfähigkeit und Stabilität der Netze zu sichern. Die Qualitätssicherheit bei der Übertragung zeitkritischer Dienste darf kein Argument zur Aushebelung des "Best-effort"-Prinzips sein;

Or. de

Amendment 16
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Grootte
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1c. Empfiehlt, umfassende Informations- und Transparenzverpflichtungen der Netzbetreiber gegenüber allen Marktbeteiligten (insbesondere Diensteanbietern und Endkunden) festzulegen, um damit das Vorliegen notwendiger Informationen zur Beurteilung der Notwendigkeit von Maßnahmen des Netzwerkmanagements oder anderer Eingriffe in die Datenübertragung sicherzustellen;

Amendment 17
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Groot
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1d. Empfiehlt darüber hinaus, angemessene Mindestqualitätsstandards für die Durchleitung von Datenpaketen festzulegen, um eine ausreichende "Best-Effort"-Qualität im Internet zu sichern, um Diensteanbieter sowie Endkunden zu schützen und um einen fairen Wettbewerb zu gewährleisten;

Amendment 18
Marietje Schaake
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

deleted

Amendment 19
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Groot
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

2. Empfiehlt den Mitgliedstaaten und der Kommission, Netzneutralität als Regulierungsziel gesetzlich festzuschreiben. Sie soll der Förderung der Vielfalt von Inhalten, Diensten und Diensteanbietern dienen und das

Verlangsamen, Benachteiligen oder Blockieren von Inhalten, Diensten oder Diensteanbietern ohne hinreichenden sachlichen Grund verhindern;

Or. de

Amendment 20
Angelika Niebler
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality *for the time being; welcomes the Commission's intention to publish by the end of 2011 the evidence emerging from BEREC's investigations, including any instance of blocking or throttling certain types of traffic, practical obstacles regarding change of operator and the discrepancy between advertised and actual delivery speeds occurring in Member States; considers regulatory intervention an appropriate and possible remedy should this investigation show that the principles of net neutrality and fair competition are endangered;*

Or. en

Amendment 21
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis **there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;**

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present analysis, *in particular with regards to the mobile market, there is a need to provide EU-wide guidelines to properly and consistently enforce the provisions of the Telecoms package on net neutrality;*

Amendment 22
Jean-Pierre Audy, Gaston Franco
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there *is* no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present **Commission's** analysis there *seems to be* no clear need *at this stage* for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality *on the European level; emphasises, however, that there is a potential for anti-competitive and discriminatory behaviour in traffic management and that therefore the European legislator should do its utmost to prevent any violation of net neutrality;*

Amendment 23
Ivo Belet
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present **Commission's** analysis there *seems to be* no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality *at European level at this stage; however looks forward to the results of the Commission's ongoing investigations at discriminatory practices;*

Amendment 24
Philippe Lamberts
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is **no clear** need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Amendment

2. Notes, **however**, that based on the present analysis there is **evidence, particularly in the mobile market, of a** need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Jens Rohde, Lena Ek
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Amendment

2. Notes that based on the present analysis there is **currently** no clear need for additional regulatory intervention on net neutrality;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Jens Rohde, Lena Ek
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Urges BEREC in cooperation with Member States to closely monitor the development of ISPs traffic management, in particular related to blocking and throttling of or excessive pricing for VoIP and file sharing as it is practices in these areas that have given rise to complaints of unreasonable and anti-competitive discriminatory traffic management;

Or. en

Amendment 27
András Gyürk
Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Underlines the importance of BEREC assessing and sharing best practice solutions concerning net neutrality with Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Niki Tzavela

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Calls on the Member States to ensure consistency in the approach on net neutrality and the effective implementation of the revised EU telecoms package;

4. Calls on the ***European Commission in coordination with the*** Member States, to ensure consistency in the approach on net neutrality and ***to cooperate effectively towards the*** implementation of the revised EU telecoms package;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Catherine Trautmann

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Calls on the Member States to ensure consistency in the approach on net neutrality and the effective implementation of the revised EU telecoms package;

4. Calls on ***the Commission***, the Member States ***and BEREC*** to ensure consistency in the approach on net neutrality and ***the fair and*** effective implementation of the revised EU telecoms package;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality should ensure a common European approach;

Amendment

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality should ensure a common European approach; ***and should be informed by Commission impact assessments that scrutinize the effects of net neutrality regulations on the business models of telecom industries, in certain member states that have proposed or implemented such regulations;***

Or. en

Amendment 31

Ioannis A. Tsoukalas

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality ***should ensure*** a common European approach;

Amendment

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality ***can be effective only through*** a common European approach;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Groote

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality should ensure a ***common*** European approach;

Amendment

5. Emphasizes that any solution proposed on the issue of net neutrality should ensure a ***coordinated*** European approach;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Ioan Enciu

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Suggests that Member States initiate communication actions through effective channels so that the central issues regarding net neutrality are brought to the attention of the citizens in order for them to have better knowledge of their rights and to be able to report any eventual abuses;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. States that the development of “specialized services” with guaranteed quality (IPT etc.) should not result in a degradation of “best-effort” internet services, or in reducing the openness of the Internet - thus limiting innovation and freedom of expression;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

7. Recognises that *in order to meet quality of service requirements and subject to NRAs scrutiny, operators may use procedures to measure and shape Internet traffic on a network link so as to avoid filling the link to capacity or overfilling the link, which would result in network congestion;*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Jens Rohde, Lena Ek
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management; ***Urges the competent national regulatory authorities to ensure that traffic management interventions do not involve anti-competitive discrimination and to make full use of their powers under the Universal Service Directive to impose, if necessary, minimum QoS standards on an SMP operator;***

Or. en

Amendment 37
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion, ***especially in the case of mobile broadband networks***, but calls for transparency in traffic management ***and better information for end-users and encourages the self-regulation of network providers through the application of standardized management practices;***

Or. en

Amendment 38
Angelika Niebler
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management; ***stresses that the user, instead of the internet service provider, must be able to choose the speed and volume of downloads and services;***

Or. en

Amendment 39

Niki Tzavela

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management ***and efforts to ensure that advertised broadband speeds accurately reflect actual users' experiences;***

Or. en

Amendment 40

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for transparency in traffic management;

Amendment

7. Recognises that reasonable traffic management is required to ensure that the end user's connectivity is not disrupted by network congestion but calls for ***ongoing innovation and*** transparency in traffic management;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Ivo Belet
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Stresses that the principles of non-discrimination, equal treatment and transparency are of paramount importance to ensure an unbiased access to content for citizens; especially in the light of vertical integration in the telecoms sector;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Sabine Verheyen
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Draws the attention to potential challenges when departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation, restriction on freedom of expression lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy and that the lack of net neutrality hurts both businesses, consumers and society as whole;

8. Draws the attention to **serious** challenges when departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation, restriction on freedom of expression **and on media pluralism**, lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy **which will be detrimental to** both businesses, consumers and **the democratic** society as **a** whole;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Draws the attention to **potential challenges when** departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation,

8. Draws the attention to **the risks of** departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation, restriction on

restriction on freedom of expression lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy and that the lack of net neutrality hurts both businesses, consumers and society as whole;

freedom of expression lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy and that the lack of net neutrality hurts both businesses, consumers and society as whole;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Philippe Lamberts
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Draws the attention to potential **challenges** when departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation, restriction on freedom of expression lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy and that the lack of net neutrality hurts both businesses, consumers and society as whole;

Amendment

8. Draws the attention to potential **dangers** when departing from network neutrality including anticompetitive behaviour, blockage of innovation, restriction on freedom of expression lack of consumer awareness and infringement of privacy and that the lack of net neutrality hurts both businesses, consumers and society as whole;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Angelika Niebler
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

8a. Notes the existence of concerns emerging from consumers in regard to the discrepancy between advertised and actual delivery speeds from an Internet connection; calls in this respect on the Member States to coherently enforce the ban on misleading advertising;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Philippe Lamberts
Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Draft motion for a resolution

9. Reminds that the EU regulatory framework aims at promoting effective competition and therefore any measure in the area of net neutrality should in addition to existing competition law provide tools to deal with any anti-competitive practices that may emerge ***as well as lead to investments and facilitate new innovative business models;***

Amendment

9. Reminds that the EU regulatory framework aims at promoting ***freedom of expression*** and effective competition and therefore any measure in the area of net neutrality should in addition to existing competition law provide the necessary tools to deal with any anti-competitive practices that may emerge;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Angelika Niebler

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Recognises the need for creating ways of enhancing citizens' trust and confidence in the online environment; calls therefore on the Commission and Member States to further develop educational programmes aiming at raising consumers' ICT skills and at reducing digital exclusion;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Catherine Trautmann

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission, together with BEREC, to examine further whether certain practices, including traffic management or interconnection agreements, may trigger anticompetitive behaviour or excessive degradation of quality, as well as the discrepancy

between advertised and actual delivery speeds for access to the Internet, and report to the European Parliament and the Council thereon;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Draws the attention to the issue of "device neutrality" which should be the subject of an analysis by the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as *necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;*

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as *fundamental yet non-exhaustive components of net neutrality;*

Or. en

Amendment 51
Philippe Lamberts
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

10. Considers transparency *in relation to exceptional non-neutral traffic management, consistent* quality of service *benchmarks* and ease of switching as necessary *complementary measures for*

net neutrality in order to assure the end-users of freedom of choice;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Marietje Schaake
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Amendment

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests, ***as long as these conditions are effective in practice and offer actual net neutrality***;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Fiona Hall
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Amendment

10. Considers ***that effective competition in electronic communications combined with*** transparency ***on*** quality of service and ease of switching are necessary conditions for net neutrality, assuring end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Jens Rohde, Lena Ek
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary

Amendment

10. Considers ***that effective competition in electronic communications combined***

conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

with transparency *on* quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Patrizia Toia
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Draft motion for a resolution

10. Considers transparency, quality of service and ease of switching as necessary conditions of net neutrality in assuring the end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Amendment

10. Considers *that competition*, transparency *on* quality of service and ease of switching *are among the minimum* necessary conditions *for* net neutrality, assuring end-users of freedom of choice and requests;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Considers the principle of net neutrality as a significant prerequisite for enabling an innovative internet ecosystem and for securing a level playing field at the service of European citizens and entrepreneurs;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Takis Hadjigeorgiou
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to invite

consumer representatives and civil society to participate actively and equally with industry representatives in the discussions regarding the future of the Internet in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Ivo Belet
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Believes a "pay-for-priorisation-system" should go hand in hand with the introduction of sufficiently high minimum quality of service requirements, so as to avoid a new digital divide;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Takis Hadjigeorgiou
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10b. Draws attention to net neutrality currently being threatened by discriminatory practices by network operators who aim to maximise their revenues through their prioritisation of content and the conclusion of exclusive agreements with content providers, thus jeopardising the right of consumers to have access to information and content of their choice;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Takis Hadjigeorgiou
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10c. Draws attention to switching between operators being not often easy, either because of the significant costs involved such as contract cancellation fees, costs related to setting up the new network and installation costs as well as the ones related to informing third parties of the new contract information or due to contractual restrictions as in the case of bundled services;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Takis Hadjigeorgiou
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

10d. Considers that in the framework of the single market and increased competition service providers have increased their prices to the detriment of consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Philippe Lamberts
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission ***to assess the need*** for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

11. Asks the Commission for additional guidance on net neutrality ***to protect freedom of expression on the Internet as well as*** to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers; ***and, based on the work of BEREC, to provide the European Parliament with data on current traffic management practices, the interconnection market and alleged***

network congestion, looking in particular to whether the latter is related to lack of investment;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Jean-Pierre Audy, Gaston Franco
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance ***and, if necessary for further regulation*** on net neutrality to achieve competition ***and security, foster innovation of the internet, facilitate wide-ranging benefits for citizens, business and public administration uses of the Internet as well as ensure freedom of access to the information, and freedom of expression*** and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Jens Rohde, Lena Ek
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to ***ensure the enforcement of the EU regulatory framework for communications using all of its available powers and to furthermore*** assess the need for additional guidance ***or regulation*** on net neutrality to achieve ***effective*** competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Petra Kammerevert, Matthias Groot

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance **and for further regulation** on net neutrality to achieve competition, **to foster innovation, to ensure media-pluralism, freedom of access to information and freedom of expression as well as** freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Niki Tzavela
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition, **foster innovation on the Internet, wide-ranging benefits for citizens, business and public administration uses of the internet;** and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Catherine Trautmann
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance **and if necessary further regulation** on net neutrality to achieve **innovation**, competition and freedom of choice for consumers, **as well as freedom of expression;**

Amendment 68
Patrizia Toia
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to ***ensure the enforcement of the EU regulatory framework for communications and to*** assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Fiona Hall
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to ***ensure the enforcement of the EU regulatory framework for communications and to*** assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Angelika Niebler
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance, ***and if necessary, for further regulation*** on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment 71
Ioannis A. Tsoukalas
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality ***in order*** to achieve competition, ***reinforce investments*** and ***enable*** freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Lambert van Nistelrooij
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Draft motion for a resolution

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition and freedom of choice for consumers;

Amendment

11. Asks the Commission to assess the need for additional guidance on net neutrality to achieve competition, ***freedom of expression*** and freedom of choice for consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Ivo Belet
Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Believes additional measures are necessary to prohibit the blocking of lawful services;

Or. en